
THE WISE WORDS OF RUSSIAN FOREIGN MINISTER SERGEY LAVROV

By Pierre Beaudry, 2/11/26

During his press conference on February 6, 2016, and immediately following his meeting with the Federal Department of Foreign Affairs of the Swiss Confederation, Ignazio Cassis, and the Secretary General of the Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe (OSCE), Feridun Sinirlioglu, Russian Foreign Minister, Sergey Lavrov, suggested that we ask ourselves the question of what is the root cause of the current world strategic mess we are in. The question that Lavrov wants the western media to ask openly is: Is the West attempting to impose a Nazi regime over the planet?

In his brief [ten page press release](#), Lavrov revealed the root cause of what brought this unique OSCE meeting together in Moscow. It was the courage of two Western European leaders, Ignazio Cassis and Feridun Sinirlioglu who came to Russia to tell the truth about the failure of their own organization. After the meeting was over, Lavrov revealed to the Russian press the brutal truth of what they had discussed:

“We provided a detailed explanation that across all three OSCE “baskets” – the military and political, economic, and humanitarian dimensions – there is either complete stagnation or Ukrainization. Meanwhile, the OSCE remains entirely inert despite possessing institutional mechanisms such as special representatives for national minorities, media freedom, and human rights. These institutions have demonstrated their utter

ineffectiveness, proving incapable of responding to the most egregious violations of OSCE principles.

“I refer here to the rise of neo-Nazi sentiment, the glorification of Nazism, the destruction of shared military and memorial heritage dedicated to those who defeated fascism during the Second World War, discrimination against ethnic Russians, Russian speakers, the Russian language and culture, Russian-language media, and the persecution of canonical Orthodoxy. These phenomena are by no means unique to Ukraine.

“Similar developments are evident in the Baltic states and modern-day Moldova. OSCE institutions are undoubtedly forfeiting their credibility by remaining silent in the face of such flagrant violations of the Organization’s professed principles.”

After laying out this truth before the world, but without the obstructionist presence of the Western press, Lavrov identified how the OSCE should stop being a Russophobic tool of the West: “The OSCE could obviously be a platform for producing some kind of common approaches. However, so far, the Western countries that have usurped the leading positions at the OSCE Secretariat and in the military-political, economic and humanitarian domains, still believe it is more vital for them to try their hardest to make the OSCE act as another Russophobic tool and support the openly pro-Nazi Kiev regime.” Once that truth was out, then, Lavrov opened another can of worms, which the West wants to keep shut at all cost:

“The question is simple. This story of a mass murder in Bucha is no longer mentioned anywhere in the media. They found time to expose Epstein’s island, but not to investigate Bucha. Our question to journalists is straightforward: UN staff, respected outlets, request a list of the names of those whose bodies were shown on the BBC four years ago.

“I know many people in New York accredited to the UN. I’ve addressed old acquaintances directly: ‘Gentlemen, you have journalistic pride; you’ll dig up anything as a challenge.’ For one thing, it’s all the rage

now to badger everyone about why Russia isn't interested in peace. It's an abstraction you can answer forever, just as you can ask it forever.

“Here's the simplest thing: Who was killed in Bucha? Why can't we see the names? UN Secretary-General António Guterres and UN High Commissioner for Human Rights Volker Türk mutter something inaudible, avert their eyes, hint at ethical standards that prevent the release of these names. What ethical standards? Explain why this can't be done.

“I appeal again to journalists, especially Western journalists, because it was Western media that blew this scandal out of proportion. Try asking the Ukrainian leadership, or the BBC chiefs. They must know who to contact to recall the details of that story.

“It's time you stopped taking your cues from European functionaries like Rutte, von der Leyen, or Zelensky. They would not scruple to tell a lie. The issue of a ceasefire and simultaneously providing security guarantees to Ukraine has taken centre stage. Zelensky demands that these guarantees be approved by parliaments and the US Congress, otherwise “it won't be serious.” The ‘boss’ is telling others what to do.

“Let me reiterate – in April 2022, security guarantees were agreed upon based on a draft proposed by the Ukrainian side. They stipulated that security would be guaranteed for the entire region, including Ukraine, with the guarantor states being the five permanent members of the UN Security Council – including Russia and China – plus Germany and Turkiye. This was Ukraine's proposal.

“Furthermore, as per Ukraine's proposal, it was spelled out that these guarantors would operate on the basis of unanimous consent, with all decisions taken solely by consensus; that there would be no military bases in Ukraine; and that no military exercises would be conducted without the approval of all guarantor states, including Russia and China. These security guarantees were enshrined in a document that was initialed, and which we

were prepared to sign four years ago, subsequently formalizing it into a legally binding treaty.

“No one has shown us anything, though our delegation – which travelled to Abu Dhabi for the second time – may have been briefed on what was discussed there. I have not yet had the opportunity to speak with them. Yesterday and today, we were occupied with our Swiss counterparts.

“What is being leaked to the press – and even what is not: NATO Secretary General Mark Rutte, speaking in the *Verkhovnaya Rada* of Ukraine, articulated what amounts to preparations for an intervention. He stated that security guarantees envisage automatic actions by the “coalition of the willing” – at one stage independently, at another with the support of the United States – and that Britain and France are prepared to deploy their troops on Ukrainian territory. This is precisely the opposite of the collective guarantees agreed upon by us and the Ukrainians in April 2022. Back then, it was an honest arrangement. Now, these are security guarantees for a regime that the West is determined to preserve at any cost – solely to ensure it continues attempting to “bite” the Russian Federation and persists in offering its territory for the deployment of Western weaponry aimed at Russia.

“Clearly, this discussion is not serious. Few now recall that period. That inconvenient question about Bucha – why names are withheld, why Ukrainians were prohibited from signing a peace agreement with Russia four years ago, which had already been initialed. None of this is acknowledged. History has been cancelled.”

And finally, the last nail to be pulled off the lid of that box was this axiom buster:

“One must view history in all its complexity, including the root causes of all conflicts. Let me conclude with a quotation. We discussed this extensively today with our partners. In recent years, the People’s Republic of China has put forward several major initiatives: the Global Governance

Initiative and the Global Security Initiative. The latter was articulated by President Xi Jinping in February 2023. It outlines (without reference to any specific situation) a principle for resolving any conflict. One of these principles is clearly stated: **When approaching any conflict, one must meticulously and thoroughly examine its root causes. Having identified them, concentrate all efforts on their elimination. Only on this basis can conflicts be resolved.**”

Truthfully, if you publish the list of names of those four hundred civilians who were massacred in Bucha, Ukraine, in 2022, you will know who committed the crimes; and once you know who committed the crimes, you will know why they did it.

FIN