
HOW DOES DIVINE TIMING COINCIDE WITH HUMAN READINESS?

By Pierre Beaudry, 1/30/26

FOREWORD

As far as the human mind can grasp an approximation of God's Time, the Divine Timing of the eternal must be made congruent with the geometry that Lyndon LaRouche identified as change in the *"simultaneity of temporal eternity."*

Since that is the case for human timing, then, how can one make that Divine Timing congruent with a universal process of axiomatic changes and how can human beings be made ready for such events in historical physical space-time?

The answer will depend on a special form of multiply-connected *coincidence and reciprocity*, which makes Divine Memory and human memory congruent with one another. That has been one of the most difficult questions that any creative individual attempted to answer since the beginning of time. In religious terms, this time-memory problem has been historically identified in the Gospel with the *"secret of the veil"*:

"But their minds were made dull, for to this day the same veil remains when the old covenant is read. It has not been removed, because only in Christ is it taken away. (2 Corinthians 3:14)"

Pope Leo XIV is currently attempting to bring this question out into the open within the Catholic Church, because an axiomatic transformation is presently in the making for mankind. The Pope's intervention is published in this report: [☞☐ Pope Leo XIV Revealed The Secret The Vatican Has Kept For Over 100 Years.](#)

Leo XIV dramatized the issue by revealing that a 100 year old statement written on an old piece of parchment had been suppressed by several Popes before him. According to him, the time has now come when he has to make the subject matter publically known. So, he released the statement of the parchment which reads as follows: ***“WHEN THE CENTURY TURNS, THE VEIL WILL THIN AGAIN.”***

The video of the Pope identified briefly the significance of this cryptic statement by adding that this was a moment of transition, a ***“SIGNUM TRANSITUS [A SIGN OF PASSAGE] that was kept secret for over 100 years because humanity was not ready. Even the Church was not ready. Only the Pope, who would live past the century mark of the prophecy, would understand how to respond. (10:55)”***

“THE THINNING OF THE VEIL”




Figure 1. Pope Leo XIV, 2025.

Note that the question is not that of lifting the veil, but of thinning it, that is, of making it easier for you to see through by improving your understanding on the

matter of “*truth.*” In fact, you can estimate that with the “*thinning of the veil*” when you discover that the greater the number of human beings who see and understand the axiomatic nature of this “*passage*” of transformation, the greater the condition of mankind as a whole will be improved.

The question, therefore, is: How can you, as an individual, help do that? How can you help someone else make the discovery of principle that will cause him or her to change axiomatically? The Pope answered this question in a stunning fashion, by saying that it is by avoiding shocking people with the fear of going to Hell. This is the issue which has become the key axiomatic factor for understanding the congruence between God and Man and between East and West.

Pope Leo XIV made some disclosures about the veil, which are coherent with the Gospel's interpretation. That is not for me to question, but to explain, because, as he said: “*The veil separates not Heaven and Earth, but Earth and something parallel.*” Then, he added the required condition for human understanding of that “*parallel*” domain.” He said that individuals must discover a higher complex domain that integrates “*memory, knowledge, and grief woven together, as a multidisciplinary knowledge that man had forgotten.*” This is a crucial aspect of what the ancient Greeks identified as the *Quadrivium*. That is a higher form of knowledge, which I have written about before and which I invite the reader to reexamine for his own benefit.

In other words, what is required is a multiply-connected form of knowledge which integrates at least four different disciplines, Geometry, Arithmetic, Music, and Astronomy, that the Pythagoreans developed earlier in history as a higher form of universal *Galactic thinking*. See my recent 11/11/25 report: [[WHAT IS BEHIND THE COMPLEX DOMAIN OF PLATO'S QUADRIVIUM.](#)]

This takes us back to Plato's *Republic, Book VII*, because this is where the subject matter of axiomatic change can best be understood through the process of attempting to pull someone out of Plato's Cave. I recall, here, the conclusion stated in my earlier report when I asked: “How can you find *reciprocity* between two prime numbers?”

THE PRINCIPLE OF RECIPROCITY BEHIND NUMBERS

Since the *Quadrivium manifold* requires that human behavior become as harmonic as the geometrical behavior of numbers, it is, therefore, useful to take up again the case of the Poloidal/Toroidal torus ratio of $P/T = 3/17$ [or $3 \pmod{17}$] and discover how *reciprocity* between two incompatible prime numbers, **3** and **17**, can generate a higher form of harmony in this *Galactic manifold*.¹

If you insert into Figure 2 two successive series of numbers from **1** to **17**, you can derive all of the residues of **3** as a primitive root of **17** in such a manner that the truth of *reciprocity* moves your mind faster than the speed of light.




Figure 2. The investigation of 3 as primitive root of 17 produces the following series of residues 1, 3, 9, 10, 13, 5, 15, 11, 16, 14, 8, 7, 4, 12, 2, 6, 1, as reciprocals of one another: [1+16], [3+14], [9+8], [10+7], [13+4], [5+12], [15+2], [11+6], [16+1].

¹ For a better understanding of this Galactic Manifold, see my 2010 report: [FUSION POWER IS NOT DEMOCRATIC](#).

1

$$1 \times 3 = 3$$

$$3 \times 3 = 9$$

$$3 \times 3 \times 3 = 27 - 17 = 10$$

$$3 \times 3 \times 3 \times 3 = 81 - (4 \times 17) = 13$$

$$3 \times 3 \times 3 \times 3 \times 3 = 243 - (14 \times 17) = 5$$

$$3 \times 3 \times 3 \times 3 \times 3 \times 3 = 729 - (42 \times 17) = 15$$

$$3 \times 3 \times 3 \times 3 \times 3 \times 3 \times 3 = 2187 - (128 \times 17) = 11$$

$$3 \times 3 = 6561 - (385 \times 17) = 16$$

$$3 \times 3 = 19683 - (1157 \times 17) = 14$$

$$3 \times 3 = 59049 - (3473 \times 17) = 8$$

$$3 \times 3 = 177147 - (10420 \times 17) = 7$$

$$3 \times 3 = 531441 - (31261 \times 17) = 4$$

$$3 \times 3 = 1594323 - (93783 \times 17) = 12$$

$$3 \times 3 = 4782969 - (281351 \times 17) = 2$$

$$3 \times 3 = 14348907 - (844053 \times 17) = 6$$

$$3 \times 3 = 43046721 - (2532160 \times 17) = 1$$

The irony, here, is that such a complex calculation can be avoided altogether simply by following the pathway of the geometrical model of Figure 2. The series of residues of the complex relationship of **3 (mod 17)** can be gotten successively simply by counting your steps moving clockwise around the outer rim of the torus.

Starting after **1**, count three steps to get to **3**, then, count nine steps to get to **9**, and again, count ten steps to get to **10**, then again, count thirteen steps to get to **13**, and so on, and so forth. That's all there is to it. The process is already set by *preestablished harmony*. If you continue the same process all the way to the end, you will discover that the doctrine of biquadratic residues reflects a cyclical motion of composition which involves a geometrical principle of *reciprocity*, that can also be applied politically and socially to the behavior of mankind; that is, with love of mankind and the principle of the **Advantage of the Other** of the Peace of Westphalia.

Such a geometrical construction of the torus is the appropriate geometrical device for resolving all of the mathematical problems of primitive roots of quadratic and biquadratic residues as a way of predisposing the mind towards making new discoveries of principle.

When you multiply by itself a prime number (**3**) and divide its powers by another prime number (**17**), you leave behind a series of residues, or remainders, in such a manner that their ordering principle is similar to solving the differences between two human beings who disagree with each other, axiomatically, but who come to agree with one another by dividing the poloidal powers of one (**3**) by the toroidal cycle of the other (**17**). Might this be a new way to secure peace on Earth?

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
1	16														
2	4	8	16	15	13	9	1								
3	9	10	13	5	15	11	16	14	8	7	4	12	2	6	1
4	16	13	1												
5	8	6	13	14	2	10	16	12	9	11	4	3	15	7	1
6	2	12	4	7	8	14	16	11	15	5	13	10	9	3	1
7	15	3	4	11	9	12	16	10	2	14	13	6	8	5	1
8	13	2	16	9	4	15	1								
9	13	15	16	8	4	2	1								
10	15	14	4	6	9	5	16	7	2	3	13	11	8	12	1
11	2	5	4	10	8	3	16	6	15	12	13	7	9	14	1
12	8	11	13	3	2	7	16	5	9	6	4	14	15	10	1
13	16	4	1												
14	9	7	13	12	15	6	16	3	8	10	4	5	2	11	1
15	4	9	16	2	13	8	1								
16	1														

Figure 3. The sixteen numbers of the left column show all of the reciprocals mod. 17.

A closer examination of Figure 3 will make you discover the amazing *preestablished harmony* of all of the residues of mod 17 and of the nature of the

Galactic complex domain. The **8** residue numbers of the upper half are a mirror image of the **8** numbers of the lower half. The numbers are different, but their patterns of distribution are all *coincidences of opposites*. Why? Because the two halves of all of the **16** numbers are *reciprocals* of **17**. The *reciprocals* are made to be added to each other and their harmony to reflect the **One of the Many**.

1. The eight biquadratic residues of **3, 5, 6, 7** (pink) and **14, 12, 11, 10** (pink) are *reciprocals*.
2. The four quadratics of **2, 8**, (blue) and **15, 9** (blue) are *reciprocals*.
3. The four other numbers **1, 4** (brown) and **16, 13** (brown) are *reciprocals*.

TWO KINDS OF FASCISM

The reason I bring up this question of biquadratic residues with the religious question of *truth* is because it may seem that there is no solution to the crisis between East and West, religiously or politically speaking. It is as if the East and the West were incompatible because, like a prime number, the Imperialist West has no positive divisors other than *1 and itself*.

The Imperialist way of thinking wants to impose its hegemonic superiority over the other nations of the world, while the proper way of thinking wishes every nation to develop and be secured by eliminating the idea of supremacy of one nation over another. How do you solve this axiomatic conflict between the two? The Gospel tells us: You do it by "*thinning the veil*." But, the problem is that the West has been taken over by two self-destructive forms of fascism.

The first type of fascism is the Mafia type represented by the likes of Adolph Hitler, Roy Cohen, and Donald Trump. The problem is the street gang thug who openly blackmails a scared population by forcing it to keep quiet and accept what they are told to do.

The second type of fascism is the Oligarchical type represented by the British royal family. It is an authoritarian form of government with a Liberal Democratic façade, which controls the population by lying to them with a mask of superiority over their faces.

The only way to resolve this dilemma is to discover that the greatest danger that humanity faces is not nuclear war, but stupidity.

Both forms of fascism are self-destructive, because they both imply a stupid exclusion of *reciprocity* as a form of peaceful exchange and cooperation between all of the nations of the world. The problem is that humanity will destroy itself unless *reciprocity* becomes the fundamental principle of all of the governments of the world. Are you ready to confront that stupidity in yourself and make a change?

HOW DO YOU KNOW WHEN YOU ARE TELLING THE TRUTH?

It is very difficult to know when one is telling the truth, because everything has been made to depend on perception and on deliberate deception. So much so that no one knows what the truth is anymore, unless one can construct a measuring device which can make the difference between true and false. The truth has become so difficult to find because most people fear what others will think of them. As a result, they become confused when they hear the truth and they prefer to accept what appears to be true and mind their own business.

In my experience, I know that something is true with certainty only when it hurts and I can joke about it. Let me give you an example. This is a cartoon I composed a few years ago about man's discovery of fire. It is not a hilarious joke, but it makes the point: **“Don't do it. It's LAROCHE!”**




Figure 4. “Don't do it. It's LAROCHE!”

If you laugh when you see this cartoon about Lyndon LaRouche, then you know it is true, because you realize what is implied in terms of discoveries of principle. If you don't laugh, the reason is either because you don't know who LaRouche is or because you don't want to accept the fact that LaRouche is important for making discoveries of principle. Either way, it is painfully funny.

This kind of truth has been made clear more recently by the interventions of Pope Leo XIV, because the weapon of control that has been dominating the Western countries for the last few years has been the *fear of telling the truth*; that is, the fear that the West will be losing its domineering control over the world's population. This is the reason why the Russians and the Chinese have been made to be viewed worldwide as the enemies of mankind, because, in fact, they are moving ahead with LaRouche's discoveries.

Pope Leo XIV has taken the initiative to solve this crisis within the religious domain by focussing people on the necessity to make axiomatic changes in their lives, just as the Schiller Institute and the LaRouche Organization have taken the initiative internationally within the political domain.

[“POPE LEO XIV DECLARES CATHOLIC TEACHINGS ON HELL OUTDATED—THEOLOGICAL EARTHQUAKE BEGINS](#)

“The Church has wielded fear as a weapon. We have painted Hell as a place of eternal fire, of unending conscious torment. This Medieval imagery has distorted our understanding of divine justice and mercy. It has corrupted our relationship with God, transforming it from one of love to one of terror.

“I have prayed deeply about this, I have studied the original Greek and Hebrew texts. I have consulted with biblical scholars across faith traditions and I am convinced that our traditional teaching on Hell represents a misinterpretation that has caused immeasurable spiritual harm.” (2:21 / 29:18)

His opponents replied: “Without Hell what happens to our moral judgment? It destroys the whole purpose of religion. This is Protestant thinking; worse, it’s secularism in religious garb!”

No replied Leo: “The truth is what will set you free, even if it terrifies you at first.... Or would you rather have me perpetuate what I believe to be a profound theological error out of fear?”

“I would have you proceed with wisdom, with consultation, with the collegiality you yourself have championed.

“I’ve consulted, I’ve prayed, I’ve studied, and I cannot in good conscience continue to allow the Church to use the threat of eternal conscious torment as a tool of control.... Our teachings on Hell has been distorted by history, culture, and the human tendency to use fear as a means of control. And, it’s time we reclaim a theology that truly reflects the God of love revealed in Jesus Christ. (9:34 / 29:18)...

“I have encountered countless souls tormented not by sin itself, but by the fear of eternal conscious torture as divine punishment. This fear has not drawn them closer to God’s love, but has driven many away. Portraying our loving creator as a cosmic torturer. (13:16/29:18)

“Jesus told us: ‘You will know the truth and the truth will set you free.’ Today, I invite all Catholics and all Christians to rediscover a liberating truth. God’s justice is not the justice of vengeance or eternal torture, but the justice of restoration and reconciliation. (16:11/29:18)

“The truth doesn’t wait for convenient timing, eminence, millions live in fear of a god who supposedly loves them, yet will torture them eternally. That theological contradiction has driven countless souls from the Church. Every day we perpetuate this distortion, is a day we fail in our mission. (19:32/29:18) ” [\[Pope Leo XIV Declares Catholic Teachings on Hell Outdated—Theological Earthquake Begins, September 8, 2025.\]](#)

The objections to Pope Leo XIV’s view are very revealing:

“The cardinal replied: ‘What the faithful heard today was that Hell doesn’t exist as we’ve taught it. The implications for morality, for pastoral ministry, for the very foundation of our faith...’

“The foundation of our faith, interrupted the Pope, firmly, is not fear of Hell, but the love of God revealed in Jesus Christ. If our moral teaching relies on terrorizing the faithful, with images of eternal torture; then, we have failed as teachers of the gospel.”... “How many souls have we lost because we portrayed God as a torturer?” (23:53/29:18)

“Christ revealed God’s true nature. Not to frighten us with threats of torture, but to demonstrate divine love’s transformative power. When we portray God as more merciless than even the most compassionate humans, we distort the Gospel.” (27:14/29:18)

THE THINNING OF TRUMP’S GOLDEN DOME

The political side of this crisis is reflected in the hypocrisy of President Donald Trump’s building of a Golden Dome to protect America against a potential enemy ICBM. The so-called “*strategic invulnerability*” of the Golden Dome is a fallacy of composition because it is nothing but a \$10 trillion dollar dream which is seeking a one-sided strategic security for the United States and no *reciprocity* for the rest of the world. Besides, this shield is worthless to start with because it has already been demonstrated that the Russian Oreshnik is unstoppable with existing technology.

The fallacy of this new strategic doctrine was demonstrated on May 8, 2025 by Russia and China who issued a [Joint statement by the Russian Federation and the People’s Republic of China on Global Strategic Stability](#). In fact, the Trump doctrine of the Golden Dome is an unacceptable hypocrisy because it is ultimately an offensive strategic doctrine without *reciprocity*. The matter has been made clear by what the Russians and Chinese have reported in their joint statement:

“The comprehensive implementation by individual nuclear-weapon States, with the support of their allies, of the specified conceptual

and military-technical approaches, obviously aimed at weakening the reliability and effectiveness of the strategic deterrence capabilities of other nuclear-weapon States, indicates aspiration to ensure an overwhelming military superiority, “strategic invulnerability” and ultimately “absolute strategic security”. This fundamentally contradicts the logic underlying the maintenance of strategic balance and runs counter to the principle of equal and indivisible security. ”

However, if you turn the system around and propose a joint collaboration among the US, Russia, and China, and other nations, you can use the Golden Dome idea as a shield against external threats such as asteroids. Aren't asteroids more dangerous than geopolitical conflicts? Should we not be more concerned about that extra-terrestrial danger?

CONCLUSION: “TRUTH IS NEVER PAINLESS, BUT IT IS ALWAYS HEALING.” (24:13/29:18)

Thus, the deceptive intention of the Golden Dome is, in reality, the equivalent of gaining “*absolute strategic superiority*” over Russia and China. Wouldn't *cooperation and reciprocity* be a better way to go instead of creating fear and confusion?

Similarly, it is the intention of creating fear that true Christians cannot accept, because the only true God is a God of Love. It is *truth* which is being tortured, here, with this abuse of mankind. Therefore, something is wrong in the Church's teaching of Hell, as well as in the American Oval Office strategic thinking, because both are contrary to the truth of the Gospel.

Truth has to be restored everywhere, because there has to be *reciprocity everywhere*, between God and Man and among all of the nations of the world. If mankind is to *reciprocate* God's Love within mankind, there cannot be Hell to pay if individual human beings do not respond appropriately. Otherwise, religion becomes a third form of fascism! Is that what you want? No? Then, why don't you do something about it and stop scaring people with doomsday scenarios?

FIN